Quantum-classical equivalence and ground-state factorization

Jahanfar Abouie[1,3] and Reza Sepehrinia[2,3]

[1] *Department of Physics, Institute for Advanced Studies in Basic Sciences (IASBS), Zanjan 45137-66731, Iran*
[2] *Department of Physics, University of Tehran, Tehran 14395-547, Iran*
[3] *School of Physics, Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences, IPM, Tehran 19395-5531, Iran*

arXiv:1604.00668v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 3 Apr 2016

PACS 75.10.Pq – Spin chain models
PACS 75.10.Hk – Classical spin models
PACS 03.67.Mn – Entanglement measures, witnesses, and other characterization

Abstract – We have performed an analytical study of quantum-classical equivalence for quantum XY-spin chains with arbitrary interactions to explore the classical counterpart of the factorizing magnetic fields that drive the system into a separable ground state. We demonstrate that the factorizing line in parameter space of a quantum model is equivalent to the so-called natural boundary that emerges in mapping the quantum XY-model onto the two dimensional classical Ising model. As a result, we show that the quantum systems with the non-factorizable ground state could not be mapped onto the classical Ising model. Based on the presented correspondence we suggest a promising method for obtaining the factorizing field of quantum systems through the commutation of the quantum Hamiltonian and the transfer matrix of the classical model.

Introduction. – One of the essential challenges in condensed matter physics is that of solving many body interacting systems. One major class of these systems are quantum spin models which despite their simplicity capture various complex physical phenomena. Even among these idealized models we rarely and only in low dimensions encounter solvable cases. Therefore in many systems it is very valuable to find even a single eigenstate of the Hamiltonian. It is found that in general non-exactly solvable models admit an exact *factorized* ground state for special values of Hamiltonian parameters e.g. external transverse magnetic field [1–6]. The analysis of quantum entanglement contained in the ground state has revealed additional features of the factorization point. Unlike the standard magnetic observable the entanglement displays an anomalous behavior at the factorizing field (in addition to the *critical* field) and vanishes at this point. Across this point the system undergoes an entanglement phase transition [7, 8]. Furthermore the quantum discord, another measure of quantum correlations, exhibits scaling behavior close to factorization point [9–12] which is of collective nature but different from a quantum phase transition which is accompanied by a change of symmetry. For finite systems it is also shown that the ground state remains entangled as the factorizing field is approached and undergoes parity transition across this point [13–15].

A fairly general approach which allows us to make precise statements about ground state of quantum systems is mapping the d-dimensional quantum system onto a $d+1$-dimensional classical system. Then the different properties of quantum model like orders, correlations and response functions and scaling behavior near quantum critical points can be studied through the relation to their classical counterparts. However, it turns out that mapping onto an specific classical model holds only for a restricted region of parameter space of quantum model. For instance the ground state of the spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ quantum XY-chain with uniform interactions in the presence of a magnetic field is equivalent to a two-dimensional (2D) rectangular Ising model but this equivalence is restricted to the region outside a circle which is called *natural boundary* [16]. This circular boundary has been also observed in studying rather different aspects of the XY-model. One of them is the spin-spin correlations of the quantum XY-chain which exhibit non-oscillatory (classical) asymptotic behavior outside this circle whereas they have oscillatory (quantum) asymptotic behavior inside it [17]. Another property of this circle was found in investigation of the ground state entanglement of the quantum XY-model. Along this cir-

() E-mail: jahan@iasbs.ac.ir
() E-mail: sepehrinia@ut.ac.ir
() The authors have contributed equally to this work.

cle the entanglement vanishes in correspondence with an exactly factorized state [7] and across it an entanglement phase transition from odd to even parity ground state occurs [8].

In this letter, motivated by these observations, we perform a detailed investigation to find out the relationship between the factorization of the ground state of quantum XY-chains with arbitrary interactions and their mappability onto the classical Ising model. We show that the above mentioned properties are not restricted to the particular case of uniform couplings and are maintained for the general XY-chains with arbitrary interactions. We obtain the natural boundary for the general case and show that this boundary coincides with the factorizing line of the quantum chain. We apply our results to several examples which do or do not have factorizing field. We find that there is no equivalent Ising model for the quantum models which do not possess a factorized ground state.

General XY model and ground state factorization. – The Hamiltonian of a spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ quantum XY-chain in the presence of a magnetic field can be written as:

$$\mathcal{H}_q = -\sum_{i,r}(J^x_{i,i+r}\sigma^x_i\sigma^x_{i+r} + J^y_{i,i+r}\sigma^y_i\sigma^y_{i+r}) - \sum_i h_i\sigma^z_i, \quad (1)$$

where $\sigma^{x,y}$ are Pauli matrices and h_i is a general transverse magnetic field. The interaction between two spins depends on the position i and the distance r via $J^\mu_{i,i+r}$ where $\mu = x, y$ and are assumed to be ferromagnetic ($J^\mu_{i,i+r} > 0$) or antiferromagnetic ($J^\mu_{i,i+r} < 0$) such that there is no frustration in the system. The above Hamiltonian possesses a factorized state as $|FS\rangle = \otimes_i|\theta_i\rangle$ at the factorizing fields [1,4];

$$h^f_i = \cot\theta_i \sum_r (J^x_{i,i+r}\sin\theta_{i+r} + J^x_{i,i-r}\sin\theta_{i-r}), \quad (2)$$

where $|\theta_i\rangle = \cos\frac{\theta_i}{2}|+\rangle_i + \sin\frac{\theta_i}{2}|-\rangle_i$, and $\sigma^z_i|\pm\rangle_i = \pm|\pm\rangle_i$. Here, $\theta_i \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)$ is the acute angle of the spin σ_i with $+z$ axis given by relation

$$\cos\theta_i\cos\theta_{i+r} = J^y_{i,i+r}/J^x_{i,i+r}. \quad (3)$$

The equation (3) requires $|J^y_{i,i+r}/J^x_{i,i+r}| \leq 1$ which ensures that the factorized state $|FS\rangle$ is the ground state [4]. For chains with nearest-neighbor interactions, $J^{x,y}_{i,i+r} = J^{x,y}_i\delta_{r1}$, the factorizing field (2) is simplified to

$$h^f_i = \cot\alpha_i(\sin\alpha_{i+1}|J^x_i| + \sin\alpha_{i-1}|J^x_{i-1}|), \quad (4)$$

where we have defined $\theta_i = \xi_i\alpha_i$, with $\alpha_i \in (0, \pi/2)$ and ξ_i is the sign of θ_i satisfying $\xi_i = \mathrm{sgn}J^x_{i-1}\xi_{i-1}$. The Hamiltonian (1) has the global z-parity symmetry and the factorized ground state has a degenerate partner state, $|-FS\rangle = \otimes_i|-\theta_i\rangle$.

Mapping onto 2D Ising model. – By relating a transfer matrix associated with the classical system to the Hamiltonian of its corresponding quantum model, it has been proved by Suzuki [16] that the two-dimensional Ising model is equivalent to the ground state of the quantum XY-chain with uniform interactions in the presence of a magnetic field, under appropriate relations among coupling parameters appearing in the two Hamiltonians. His remarkable observation was that the Hamiltonian of XY-chain commutes with the transfer matrix of rectangular Ising model for certain range of parameters. Two dimensional classical Ising model is expressed by the Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_\mathrm{I} = -\sum_{i,j}(J^h_{j,j+1}\sigma^x_{ij}\sigma^x_{ij+1} + J^v_{i,i+1}\sigma^x_{ij}\sigma^x_{i+1j}), \quad (5)$$

where J^h and J^v are horizontal and vertical couplings, respectively and $\sigma^x_{ij} = \pm 1$. For the uniform couplings $J^h_{j,j+1} = J^h$ and $J^v_{i,i+1} = J^v$ the transfer matrix commutes with the Hamiltonian of XY-chain ($\mathcal{H}_q$) with nearest-neighbor interactions, $J^{x,y}_{i,i+r} = J^{x,y}$, and uniform magnetic field $h_i = h$, if the coupling parameters are related via the following equations

$$J^y/J^x = \tanh^2 K^{v*},$$
$$h = 2J^x \tanh K^{v*}\coth 2K^h, \quad (6)$$

where $K^{v,h} = J^{v,h}/k_\mathrm{B}T$, $\tanh K^{v*} = \exp(-2K^v)$ and T is the temperature of the Ising system. As a result of commutation of $\mathcal{H}_q$ and V, they can be diagonalized simultaneously and have a common set of eigenvectors. In particular the ground state of quantum Hamiltonian coincides with the eigenvector of transfer matrix with the maximum eigenvalue. This brings out several interesting results (i) The critical temperature T_c of the Ising model



Fig. 1: (Color online) The mapping of quantum XY-chain with uniform interactions onto a classical Ising model. Outside the circle is equivalent to a 2D square lattice Ising model with nearest neighbor interactions. Inside the circle may correspond to the Ising model with complex interactions. The unit circle, is the factorizing line which is mapped onto the Ising model with infinite horizontal coupling ($K^h \to \pm\infty$). The critical fields $h_c = \pm 2J$ correspond to critical lines, $\sinh 2K^v \sinh 2K^h = \pm 1$, in the Ising model. The blue lines represent the Ising model in a transverse field (ITF).

corresponds to the critical field of the XY model, which is given by $h_c = 2(J^x + J^y)$ (dashed lines in Fig. 1). Furthermore the region $T > T_c$ corresponds to the region $h > h_c$ (inside the diamond shape in Fig. 1) and $T < T_c$ to $h < h_c$ (outside the diamond shape in Fig. 1). (*ii*) The spin correlations of the Ising model at temperature T are related to those of XY model in the ground state. (*iii*) Scaling behavior and singularities of the two systems correspond to each other.

Equations (6) have a noticeable property that do not have solutions for any given values of J^x, J^y and h. As a result the region in which equivalence of the two systems holds is restricted to the domain where $h^2 \geq 4J^xJ^y$. By taking $J^x = J(1+\gamma)$ and $J^y = J(1-\gamma)$, where $|\gamma| \leq 1$, this domain is outside the unit circle $(h/2J)^2 + \gamma^2 = 1$ (see Fig. 1). The points on the circle are mapped to the Ising model with $K^h \to \pm\infty$ and $K^v =$ finite. The Ising model with such anisotropic couplings, according to the exact result $M = [1-(\sinh 2K^h \sinh 2K^v)^{-2}]^{1/8}$, has maximum magnetization, $M = 1$, which we have shown with fully polarized lines in Fig. 1. Other properties of this circular boundary and its significance in the zero temperature phase diagram of the quantum XY-model are shown in Fig. 2. The spin-spin correlations have non-oscillatory asymptotic behavior outside this unit circle while they behave oscillatory inside it [17]. Moreover, the circle is lying in the commensurate ferromagnetic phase which means the energy gap in the excitation spectrum always occurs at the Brillouin-zone boundary [18].

It is also known that the ground state is factorized along this circle [7]. Here, we show that this later property is a general feature of class of quantum XY-chains with arbitrary interactions. We first find the equivalence boundary of the generalized XY-chain with the rectangular Ising model and then show that this boundary coincides with the factorizing line of the quantum model.

Boundary of equivalence and factorization. – The above results, Eqs. (6), have been generalized recently to the XY-chains with randomness and free boundary [19]. The conditions for commutation of the Hamiltonian of the XY-chain, Eq. (1) with nearest neighbor interactions, and the transfer matrix of the Ising model;

$$V = V_1^{1/2} V_2 V_1^{1/2}, \tag{7}$$

where

$$V_1 = \Pi_i (e^{K_i^v}/\cosh K_i^{v*}) e^{\sum_i K_i^{v*}\sigma_i^z}, \quad V_2 = e^{\sum_i K_i^h \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x},$$

with $K_i^{v,h} = J_i^{v,h}/k_{\rm B}T$ and $\tanh K_i^{v*} = \exp(-2K_i^v)$, are given in appendix (Eqs. (19-23)). The solutions for these equations have been presented in Ref. [19] for special cases. Here we determine the domain of existence of a solution for them. For this purpose it is instructive to deduce the following equations by adding and subtracting Eqs. (19) and (20) or Eqs. (21) and (22)

$$h_i = \pm \mathcal{J}^{\pm}_{i-1,i} \coth(K^h_{i-1} \pm K^h_i), \tag{8}$$



Fig. 2: (Color online) Zero temperature phase diagram of quantum XY chain in a transverse field. Factorizing circle separates the regions with oscillatory and non-oscillatory correlations. Dashed lines represent the transition from ferromagnetic (FM) to paramagnetic (PM) phase. Horizontal thick line shows the anisotropic transition from a ferromagnet with magnetization in x direction to the one with magnetization in y direction. Parabolic (green) lines, $\gamma^2 \pm h/2J = 1$, are the boundary between commensurate and incommensurate ferromagnetic phases.

where

$$\mathcal{J}^{\pm}_{i-1,i} = \sinh K_i^{v*} (\mathrm{sech} K^{v*}_{i+1} J^x_i \pm \mathrm{sech} K^{v*}_{i-1} J^x_{i-1}). \tag{9}$$

We have substituted for J^y in terms of J^x using the following relation

$$\tanh K_i^{v*} \tanh K_{i+1}^{v*} = J_i^y / J_i^x, \tag{10}$$

obtained from Eq. (23). The first constraint imposed on parameters of XY-chain, as it is clearly seen from Eq. (10) and positivity of K_i^{v*}, is that J_i^x and J_i^y should have the same sign and $|J_i^y/J_i^x| \leq 1$. For given exchange couplings J_i^x and J_i^y the vertical couplings of the Ising model, K_i^v, will be fixed by Eq. (10), while the horizontal couplings, K_i^h, can be varied to find the allowed values of the magnetic field. However, the couplings of the neighboring links should satisfy the constraint

$$\frac{\mathcal{J}^+_{i-1,i}}{\mathcal{J}^-_{i-1,i}} = -\frac{\coth(K^h_{i-1} - K^h_i)}{\coth(K^h_{i-1} + K^h_i)}, \tag{11}$$

obtained by eliminating h_i in Eqs. (8). The range of the hyperbolic functions in Eqs. (8) and (11), place the following bounds on the values of the magnetic field at each site;

$$|h_i| \geq \begin{cases} |\mathcal{J}^+_{i-1,i}|, \text{ if } |\mathcal{J}^+_{i-1,i}| \geq |\mathcal{J}^-_{i-1,i}| \\ |\mathcal{J}^-_{i-1,i}|, \text{ if } |\mathcal{J}^+_{i-1,i}| \leq |\mathcal{J}^-_{i-1,i}| \end{cases}. \tag{12}$$

The equality in Eq. (12) gives the boundary of the equivalence, however a further care is needed on the signs of magnetic fields. By applying the equation (11) for two successive sites i and $i+1$ of the XY-chain we find that there must be a relation between the signs of magnetic

fields h_i and h_{i+1} as $\operatorname{sgn} h_{i+1} = \operatorname{sgn} h_i$. Finally the equation for the boundary reads as follows;

$$h_i^b = \sinh K_{i+1}^{v*}(\operatorname{sech} K_{i+1}^{v*}|J_i^x| + \operatorname{sech} K_{i-1}^{v*}|J_{i-1}^x|). \quad (13)$$

In the special case of the uniform XY-chain $K_i^{v*} = K^{v*}$ and the above equation simplifies to $h_i^b = 2J\sqrt{1-\gamma^2}$ which is the circular boundary shown in Fig. 1.

Now we show that the corresponding coefficients in Eqs. (13) and (4) and therefore h_i^b and h_i^f are identical. Since, $K_i^{v^*} > 0$, $\sinh K_i^{v^*} \in (0,\infty)$, and $\operatorname{sech} K_i^{v^*} \in (0,1)$ we can rewrite the hyperbolic functions in (13) in terms of trigonometric functions as $\operatorname{sech} K_i^{v*} = \sin\tilde{\alpha}_i$ and $\sinh K_i^{v*} = \cot\tilde{\alpha}_i$ with $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in (0,\pi/2)$. According to (10) the angles $\tilde{\alpha}_i$ satisfy the equation $\cos\tilde{\alpha}_i \cos\tilde{\alpha}_{i+1} = J_i^y/J_i^x$ which is the same as the equation of α_i's and therefore we conclude $\tilde{\alpha}_i = \alpha_i$. In other words, the equivalence boundary is the factorizing line of quantum XY-chain,

$$h_i^b = h_i^f. \quad (14)$$

In the following we give more explicit results and solutions to the general equations within specific examples.

Uniform factorizing field. In addition to the uniform XY-chain, there are other spin models which also have a uniform factorizing field even though they have non-uniform exchange couplings. Among them the spin-1/2 chains with ferro-antiferro $(f-a)$ and antiferro-antiferro $(a-a)$ bond alternations have been extensively investigated in the field of quantum magnetism and quantum information due to their rich field induced quantum phases such as Luttinger liquid phase [20–22], dimerized phase and symmetry protected topological phases [14, 23]. The exchange interactions in these systems are $J_{2i}^\mu = J_a^\mu < 0$ and $J_{2i+1}^\mu = J_f^\mu > 0$ for $a-f$ and $J_{2i}^\mu = J_a^\mu < 0$ and $J_{2i+1}^\mu = \tilde{J}_a^\mu < 0$ for $a-a$ models. For systems with $a-f$ bond alternations, the factorized ground state is $|FS\rangle = |\alpha,\alpha,-\alpha,-\alpha,\dots\rangle$ with $\cos\alpha = \sqrt{J_a^y/J_a^x}$ at the factorizing field,

$$h^f = \sqrt{J_a^x J_a^y}(1 + |J_f^x/J_a^x|). \quad (15)$$

In systems with $a-a$ bond alternations, the factorized ground state is the Neel state, $|FS\rangle = |\alpha,-\alpha,\alpha,-\alpha,\dots\rangle$, with $\cos\alpha = \sqrt{J_a^y/J_a^x}$ and the factorizing field is:

$$h^f = \sqrt{J_a^x J_a^y}(1 + \tilde{J}_a^x/J_a^x). \quad (16)$$

The bond alternating quantum spin chains are mapped to a 2D Ising model with uniform vertical interaction, $K_j^v = K^v$, and horizontal $a-f$ bond alternations, $K_{2i}^h = K_a^h$ and $K_{2i+1}^h = K_f^h$, or $a-a$ bond alternations, $K_{2i}^h = K_a^h$ and $K_{2i+1}^h = \tilde{K}_a^h$. In these cases the equivalence boundary (13) is simplified to

$$h^b = \tanh K^{v*}|J_a^x|(1 + |J_c^x/J_a^x|), \quad (17)$$

where $\tanh K^{v*} = \sqrt{J_a^y/J_a^x}$ and $J_c^x = J_f^x$ or $\tilde{J}_a^x$. These boundaries exactly coincide with the factorizing lines, (15) and (16) of XY-chains with bond alternations.

Non-uniform factorizing field. In many cases, we can not get a factorized ground state by applying a uniform magnetic field. Some of them, for example trimerized and tetramerized spin chains [24,25], have a factorized ground state in the presence of a non-uniform field which is sum of a uniform and a staggered field [6]. In a tetramerized spin chain with the exchange couplings $J_{4i}^\mu = J_{4i+1}^\mu = J_a^\mu < 0$ and $J_{4i+2}^\mu = J_{4i+3}^\mu = J_f^\mu > 0$, the factorizing fields are given by $h_{4i}^f = h_{4i+2}^f = h_u^f$, $h_{4i+1}^f = h_u^f + h_s^f$, and $h_{4i+3}^f = h_u^f - h_s^f$, where

$$\begin{aligned} h_u^f &= \sqrt{J_a^x J_a^y}(1 + |J_f^x/J_a^x|), \\ h_s^f &= \sqrt{J_a^x J_a^y}(1 - |J_f^x/J_a^x|). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

The corresponding factorized ground state in this case is $|\alpha,\alpha,\alpha,-\alpha,\dots\rangle$, where $\cos\alpha = \sqrt{J_a^y/J_a^x}$. The equivalent classical model is a 2D tetramerized Ising model with $K_{4i}^h = K_{4i+1}^h = K_a^h$, $K_{4i+2}^h = K_{4i+3}^h = K_f^h$ and $K_i^v = K^v$. Using Eq. (13) we find that $h_{4i}^b = h_{4i+2}^b = \tanh K^{v^*}(|J_a^x| + J_f^x)$, $h_{4i+1}^b = 2\tanh K^{v^*}|J_a^x|$, and $h_{4i+3}^b = 2\tanh K^{v^*}|J_f^x|$. By substituting from Eq. (10) for $\tanh K^{v^*} = \sqrt{J_a^y/J_a^x}$ and using Eqs. (18) one can see that these boundary fields are exactly the factorizing fields of the tetramerized spin chain.

Non-factorizable models. There are models in which it is not possible to factorize the ground state by applying any values of magnetic fields. For example, the model with exchange interactions $J_i^x = 1-(-1)^i\delta$ and $J_i^y = 1+(-1)^i\delta$, where $0 < \delta < 1$ does not possess a factorizing field because $|J^x| < |J^y|$ at even links while $|J^x| > |J^y|$ for odd links. This property does not permit a real solution for angles θ_i in Eq. (3). On the other hand, Eq. (10) imposes a similar limitation in mapping this model onto the classical Ising model. This means that there is a relationship between the non-factorizability of the ground state and the impossibility of the mapping onto the Ising model. It should be mentioned that the model with $|J^x| > |J^y|$ in all links could be transformed to the one with $|J^x| < |J^y|$ by a global rotation of $\pi/2$ around z axis therefore does have a factorizing field. This transformation is not possible even if there is only one link with $|J^x| > |J^y|$ while all other links have $|J^x| < |J^y|$. In conclusion, the non-factorizability of the ground state of quantum model implies the impossibility of the mapping onto the classical Ising model and therefore the absence of the equivalence boundary for such models.

Summary and conclusion. – In summary, we have provided a connection between ground state factorization of quantum XY-chains with arbitrary interactions and their mappability onto a two dimensional classical Ising model. By making use of Suzuki's criterion for equivalence, i.e. the commutativity of $\mathcal{H}_q$ and V, we obtained the natural boundary for the general XY-model and showed that it is identical to the factorizing line in

parameter space. This allows us to discuss the counterparts of the factorization and vanishing the entanglement of the ground state in the behavior of equivalent classical model. We have applied our results to several examples and shown that in the cases with a factorizing field, this line coincides with the boundary obtained from mapping to classical model while the cases with no factorizing field do not have equivalent Ising model. Here we have considered the infinite chains however the results are also applicable to finite chains with different boundary conditions. The presented correspondence suggests a promising approach for obtaining the factorizing field of quantum systems. Unlike the standard approach [1] this method is not restricted to the systems with two-particle interactions and frustration. Another benefit of this approach for the future work is that it might lead to a criterion for factorizability of the ground state of the Hamiltonians based on their symmetries, since the commutation with the transfer matrix indicates some hidden symmetry of the Hamiltonian. The generalization of the results to systems with XYZ interactions, frustration and higher dimensions will be investigated in the future work.

* * *

RS would like to acknowledge the financial support of University of Tehran for this research under grant number 28957/01/1.

Appendix: Mapping conditions. – The conditions for commutation of the Hamiltonian of the XY-chain, Eq. (1) with nearest neighbor interactions, and the transfer matrix of the Ising model, Eq. (7), are given by [19]

$$h_i\tilde{p}_i^+ - 2[J_{i-1}^-(K_{i-1}^h\tilde{q}_i^+ + K_i^h\tilde{q}_i^-) + J_i^+(K_{i-1}^h\tilde{q}_i^- + K_i^h\tilde{q}_i^+)] = h_i, \tag{19}$$

$$h_i\tilde{p}_i^- - 2[J_{i-1}^-(K_{i-1}^h\tilde{q}_i^- + K_i^h\tilde{q}_i^+) + J_i^+(K_{i-1}^h\tilde{q}_i^+ + K_i^h\tilde{q}_i^-)] = 0, \tag{20}$$

$$J_{i-1}^-(\tilde{p}_i^+ + 1) - 2h_i(K_{i-1}^h\tilde{q}_i^+ + K_i^h\tilde{q}_i^-) + J_i^+\tilde{p}_i^- = 0, \tag{21}$$

$$J_i^+(\tilde{p}_i^+ + 1) - 2h_i(K_{i-1}^h\tilde{q}_i^- + K_i^h\tilde{q}_i^+) + J_{i-1}^-\tilde{p}_i^- = 0, \tag{22}$$

$$p_i^- J_i^x - p_i^+ J_i^y = 0, \tag{23}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
p_i^\pm &= (\cosh(K_i^{v*} + K_{i+1}^{v*}) \pm \cosh(K_i^{v*} - K_{i+1}^{v*}))/2,\\
q_i^\pm &= (\sinh(K_i^{v*} + K_{i+1}^{v*}) \pm \sinh(K_i^{v*} - K_{i+1}^{v*}))/2,\\
\tilde{p}_i^\pm &= (\cosh 2(K_{i-1}^h + K_i^h) \pm \cosh 2(K_{i-1}^h - K_i^h))/2,\\
\tilde{q}_i^\pm &= (\mathrm{sinhc}\, 2(K_{i-1}^h + K_i^h) \pm \mathrm{sinhc}\, 2(K_{i-1}^h - K_i^h))/2,\\
J_i^\pm &= q_i^\pm J_i^x - q_i^\mp J_i^y,
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathrm{sinhc}\, x = \sinh x/x$.

REFERENCES

[1] Kurmann J., Thomas H. and Müller G., *Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications*, **112** (1982) 235.

[2] Giampaolo S. M., Adesso G. and Illuminati F., *Physical review letters*, **100** (2008) 197201.

[3] Giampaolo S. M., Adesso G. and Illuminati F., *Physical Review B*, **79** (2009) 224434.

[4] Rossignoli R., Canosa N. and Matera J., *Physical Review A*, **80** (2009) 062325.

[5] Rezai M., Langari A. and Abouie J., *Physical Review B*, **81** (2010) 060401.

[6] Abouie J., Rezai M. and Langari A., *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **127** (2012) 315.

[7] Amico L. and Fazio R., *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, **42** (2009) 504001.

[8] Roscilde T., Verrucchi P., Fubini A., Haas S. and Tognetti V., *Physical review letters*, **93** (2004) 167203.

[9] Ciliberti L., Rossignoli R. and Canosa N., *Physical Review A*, **82** (2010) 042316.

[10] Tomasello B., Rossini D., Hamma A. and Amico L., *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, **96** (2011) 27002.

[11] Campbell S., Richens J., Gullo N. L. and Busch T., *Physical Review A*, **88** (2013) 062305.

[12] Sarandy M. S., De Oliveira T. R. and Amico L., *International Journal of Modern Physics B*, **27** (2013) 1345030.

[13] Rossignoli R., Canosa N. and Matera J., *Physical Review A*, **77** (2008) 052322.

[14] Canosa N., Rossignoli R. and Matera J. M., *Physical Review B*, **81** (2010) 054415.

[15] Giorgi G. L., *Physical Review B*, **79** (2009) 060405.

[16] Suzuki M., *Progress of Theoretical Physics*, **46** (1971) 1337.

[17] Barouch E. and McCoy B. M., *Physical Review A*, **3** (1971) 786.

[18] den Nijs M., *Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena Edited by C. Domb and J. L. Lebowitz, vol. 12, page 264*, (1988) .

[19] Minami K., *EPL (Europhysics Letters)*, **108** (2014) 30001.

[20] Sakai T., *Journal of the Physical Society of Japan*, **64** (1995) 251.

[21] Abouie J. and Mahdavifar S., *Physical Review B*, **78** (2008) 184437.

[22] Mahdavifar S. and Abouie J., *Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter*, **23** (2011) 246002.

[23] Wang H. T., Li B. and Cho S. Y., *Physical Review B*, **87** (2013) 054402.

[24] Gu B., Su G. and Gao S., *Phys. Rev. B*, **73** (2006) 134427.

[25] Rachel S. and Greiter M., *Phys. Rev. B*, **78** (2008) 134415.